- Recap: Lecture 16, 26<sup>th</sup> September 2015, 1030-1135 hrs.
  - Introduction to axial flow turbines
    - Functions of axial turbines
    - Components of axial turbines
    - Thermodynamics
    - Velocity triangles
    - Impulse and reaction turbines

### **Axial flow turbines**



An axial turbine stage

#### Thermodynamic changes in Turbine in a GTE Cycle



### **Velocity triangles**



## Work and stage dynamics

Applying the angular momentum equation,  $P = \dot{m}(U_2C_{w2} - U_3C_{w3})$ In an axial turbine,  $U_2 \approx U_3 = U_1$ . Therefore, the work per unit mass is  $W_{t} = U(C_{w2} - C_{w3})$  or  $W_{t} = C_{p}(T_{01} - T_{03})$ Let  $\Delta T_0 = T_{01} - T_{03} = T_{02} - T_{03}$ The stage work ratio is,  $\frac{\Delta T_0}{T_{01}} = \frac{U(C_{w2} - C_{w3})}{c_p T_{01}}$ 

# Work and stage dynamics

- Turbine work per stage is limited by
  - Available pressure ratio
  - Allowable blade stresses and turning
- Unlike compressors, boundary layers are generally well behaved, except for local pockets of separation
- The turbine work ratio is also often defined in the following way:

$$\frac{w_t}{U^2} = \frac{\Delta h_0}{U^2} = \frac{C_{w2} - C_{w3}}{U}$$

#### **Impulse turbine stage**



#### 50% Reaction turbine stage



Stator/Nozzle Rotor

- A cascade is a stationary array of blades.
- Cascade is constructed for measurement of performance similar to that used in axial turbines.
- Cascade usually has porous end-walls to remove boundary layer for a two-dimensional flow.
- Radial variations in the velocity field can therefore be excluded.
- Cascade analysis relates the fluid turning angles to blading geometry and measure losses in the stagnation pressure.

- Turbine cascades are tested in wind tunnels similar to what was discussed for compressors.
- However, turbines operate in an accelerating flow and therefore, the wind tunnel flow driver needs to develop sufficient pressure to cause this acceleration.
- Turbine blades have much higher camber and are set at a negative stagger unlike compressor blades.
- Cascade analysis provides the blade loading from the surface static pressure distribution and the total pressure loss across the cascade.



- From elementary analysis of the flow through a cascade, we can determine the lift and drag forces acting on the blades.
- This analysis could be done using inviscid or potential flow assumption or considering viscous effects (in a simple manner).
- Let us consider  $V_m$  as the mean velocity that makes and angle  $\alpha_m$  with the axial direction.
- We shall determine the circulation developed on the blade and subsequently the lift force.
- In the inviscid analysis, lift is the only force.



Inviscid flow through a turbine cascade

Circulation,  $\Gamma = S(V_{w2} - V_{w1})$ and lift,  $L = \rho V_m \Gamma = \rho V_m S(V_{w2} - V_{w1})$ Expressing lift in a non - dimensional form, Lift coefficient,  $C_{L} = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{m}^{2}C} = \frac{\rho V_{m} S(V_{w2} - V_{w1})}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{m}^{2}C}$  $\sqrt[n]{} = 2\frac{S}{C}(\tan\alpha_2 - \tan\alpha_1)\cos\alpha_m$ **v**<sub>m</sub> ۲, V. V2

- Viscous effects manifest themselves in the form to total pressure losses.
- Wakes from the blade trailing edge lead to non-uniform velocity leaving the blades.
- In addition to lift, drag is another force that will be considered in the analysis.
- A component of drag actually contributes to the effective lift.
- We define total pressure loss coefficient as:

$$\overline{\omega} = \frac{P_{01} - P_{02}}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V_2^2}$$







Viscous flow through a turbine cascade

Drag is given by,  $D = \overline{\omega}(S/C) \cos \alpha_m$ The effective lift  $L + \overline{\omega}(S/C) \cos \alpha_m = \rho V_m \Gamma + \overline{\omega}(S/C) \cos \alpha_m$ Therefore, the lift coefficient,

$$C_{L} = 2\frac{S}{C}(\tan \alpha_{2} - \tan \alpha_{1})\cos \alpha_{m} + \overline{\omega}(S/C)\cos \alpha_{m}$$
$$C_{L}\frac{C}{S} = 2(\tan \alpha_{2} - \tan \alpha_{1})\cos \alpha_{m} + \overline{\omega}\cos \alpha_{m}$$

- Based on the calculation of the lift and drag coefficients, it is possible to determine the blade efficiency.
- Blade efficiency is defined as the ratio of ideal static pressure drop to obtain a certain change in KE to the actual static pressure drop to produce the same change in KE.

$$\eta_{b} = \frac{1 - \frac{C_{D}}{C_{L}} \tan \alpha_{m}}{1 + \frac{C_{D}}{C_{L}} \cot \alpha_{m}}$$

If we neglect the  $C_D$  term in the lift definition,

$$\eta_{\text{b}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2C_{\text{D}}}{C_{\text{L}} \sin 2\alpha_{\text{m}}}}$$



- Acceleration takes place in both rotor and the stator.
- Enthalpy drop in the rotor as well as the stator.
- Degree of reaction provides a measure of the extent to which the rotor contributes to the overall enthalpy drop in the stage.

### **Velocity triangles**



$$R_{x} = \frac{\text{Static enthalpydrop in the rotor}}{\text{Stagnationenthalpydrop in the stage}}$$
$$= \frac{h_{2} - h_{3}}{h_{01} - h_{03}}$$

Since, in a coordinatesystemfixed to the rotor, the apparentstagnationenthalpyis constant,

$$h_2 - h_3 = \frac{V_3^2}{2} - \frac{V_2^2}{2}$$

If the axial velocity is the same upstream and downstream of the rotor, this becomes,

$$h_{2} - h_{3} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{w3}^{2} - V_{w2}^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} (V_{w3} - V_{w2}) (V_{w3} + V_{w2})$$
  
Also, since  $h_{01} - h_{03} = U(C_{w2} - C_{w3})$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{X}} &= \frac{(\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w3}} - \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w2}})(\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w3}} + \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w2}})}{2\mathsf{U}(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{w2}} - \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{w3}})} \\ \text{Since, } (\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w3}} - \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w2}}) &= (\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{w3}} - \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{w2}}) \\ \text{Therefore, } \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{X}} &= -\frac{(\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w3}} + \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w2}})}{2\mathsf{U}} \\ \text{We know that, } \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w3}} &= \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{a}} \tan\beta_{\mathsf{3}} \\ \text{and } \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{w2}} &= \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{a}} \tan\alpha_{\mathsf{2}} - \mathsf{U} \\ \text{so that} \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{X}} &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{a}}}{\mathsf{U}} (\tan\alpha_{\mathsf{2}} + \tan\beta_{\mathsf{3}}) \bigg] \end{split}$$

It can be seen that for a special case of symmetrical triangles,  $\alpha_2 = -\beta_3$ ,  $R_x = 0.5$ . When  $V_{w3} = -V_{w2}$ ,  $R_x = 0 \rightarrow$  Impulse turbine For a given statoroutlet angle, the impulse turbine stagerequires a much higher axial velocity ratio than does the 50% reaction stage. In the impulse turbine stage, all the flow velocities are higher and that is one of the reason why its efficiency is lower than that of a 50% reaction stage.

# Impulse turbine stage





Stator/Nozzle Rotor

- We noted that the aerodynamic losses in the turbine differ with the stage configuration, or the degree of reaction.
- Improved efficiency is associated with higher reaction, which implies less work per stage and therefore a higher number of stages for a given overall pressure ratio.
- The understanding of losses is important to design, not only in the choice of the configuration, but also on methods to control these losses.

- There are two commonly used turbine efficiency definitions.
  - Total-to-static efficiency
  - Total-to-total efficiency
- The usage of the efficiency definition depends upon the application.
- In land-based power plants, the useful turbine output is in the form of shaft power and exhaust KE is a loss.
- In this case the ideal turbine process would be isentropic such that there is no exhaust KE.



#### Expansion process in a turbine stage

The ideal turbine work with no exhaust KE would be

$$W_{T, ideal} = c_P (T_{01} - T_{3s})$$

The total - to - static efficiency is defined as

$$\eta_{\rm ts} = \frac{T_{01} - T_{03}}{T_{01} - T_{3s}}$$
$$= \frac{T_{01} - T_{03}}{T_{01} \left[1 - (P_3 / P_{01})^{(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}\right]} = \frac{1 - (T_{03} / T_{01})}{\left[1 - (P_3 / P_{01})^{(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}\right]}$$

In many applications (turbojets), the exhaust KE is not considered a loss as this is converted to thrust in such machines.

The ideal turbine work in such cases would be

$$W_{T, ideal} = c_P (T_{01} - T_{03s})$$

The total - to - total efficiency is defined as

$$\eta_{\text{tt}} = \frac{T_{01} - T_{03}}{T_{01} - T_{03s}}$$
$$= \frac{T_{01} - T_{03s}}{T_{01} \left[1 - (P_{03} / P_{01})^{(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}\right]} = \frac{1 - (T_{03} / T_{01})}{\left[1 - (P_{03} / P_{01})^{(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}\right]}$$

We can compare the two definitions of efficiency by making an approximation :

$$T_{03s} - T_{3s} \cong T_{03s} - T_3 = C_3^2 / 2C_p$$
  
Therefore,  $\eta_{tt} = \frac{\eta_{ts}}{1 - C_3^2 [2C_p (T_{01} - T_{3s})]}$ 

We can see that,  $\eta_{tt} > \eta_{ts}$ 

The efficiency definitions can also be related to the specific work done in the following way:

$$w_{t} = \eta_{tt} c_{p} T_{01} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P_{03}}{P_{01}} \right)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \right] \text{ and } w_{t} = \eta_{ts} c_{p} T_{01} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P_{3}}{P_{01}} \right)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \right]$$



Influence of loading on the total-to-static efficiency